IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)
Vol. 7, No. 2, April 2026, pp. 198−207
E-ISSN: 2715-0461 P-ISSN: 2686-6285, DOI:10.34306

A Qualitative Case Study on Fintech-Driven Modernization of Capital Market Infrastructure

Untung Rahardja[1], Ratna Utami Wijayanti[2], Yunita Christy[3*], Gabriel Fransiso[4]
[1]Department of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
[2]Department of Public Health, Bhakti Pertiwi University of Indonesia, Indonesia
[3]Doctoral Program in Accounting, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia
[4]Department of Information System, Ilearning Incorporation, Colombia
[1]urahardja@gmail.com, [2]ratna.utami88@gmail.com, [3]nitaarlan2406@gmail.com, [4]gabrielfransiso@ilearning.co
***Corresponding Author**

Article Info

Article history:

Submission February 20, 2026
Revised March 9, 2026
Accepted April 1, 2026
Published April 17, 2026

Keywords:
Fintech
Capital Market
Blockchain
Artificial Intelligence
Digital Transformation

ABSTRACT

By addressing inefficiencies, high operating costs, and transparency constraints in conventional systems, **this study investigates** the role of Financial Technology (fintech) in modernizing capital market infrastructure. **The study examines** the impact of cloud computing, Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, and Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) on pre-trade, trade, and post-trade processes using a qualitative case study approach and thematic analysis of secondary data from exchanges, fintech companies, industry reports, and regulatory documents. **Unlike previous** studies that mainly focus on fintech adoption in general financial services or individual technologies, this study provides an integrated analysis of multiple fintech technologies within capital market infrastructure modernization. **The findings show that** fintech significantly improves post-trade efficiency by reducing operational risks, accelerating settlement processes, and minimizing reliance on intermediaries. Cloud-based infrastructure enhances scalable data analytics and market accessibility, while AI and machine learning strengthen market surveillance and risk management through real-time monitoring and early detection of anomalous trading activities. Despite these benefits, implementation remains constrained by institutional readiness, cybersecurity risks, and regulatory complexity. The study highlights the importance of collaboration among regulators, traditional financial institutions, and fintech firms to ensure sustainable integration and effective risk mitigation. Taken together, **the findings indicate** that fintech plays a crucial role in creating a more efficient, transparent, and resilient capital market infrastructure.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.34306/itsdi.v7i2.725

1. INTRODUCTION

For a long time, capital markets have been a crucial part of the world economy, helping to mobilize capital, make investments easier, and promote long-term economic progress [1]. Despite its significance, a large portion of the underlying capital market infrastructure is still dependent on antiquated, slow, expensive, and opaque systems [2]. These structural constraints limit market accessibility, especially for new players and smaller investors, and lead to operational inefficiencies and settlement risk. The need for more robust, effective, and transparent infrastructure has grown as financial markets become more interconnected and complex [3].

In this study, modernization refers to the adoption of digital technologies to improve capital market operations, efficiency refers to faster and more cost-effective financial processes, while transparency refers to improved accessibility and visibility of market information and transactions [4].

One of the main forces behind the capital market infrastructure modernization in recent years has been the quick development of financial technology, or fintech [5]. Traditional procedures in trading, clearing, settlement, and market surveillance are being transformed by technologies including cloud computing, Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, and Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT). Fintech solutions significantly improve operational efficiency, cost reduction, transparency, and risk management by facilitating automation, real-time data exchange, and decentralized record-keeping [6]. These developments call into question long-standing market structures and force a thorough reconsideration of the architecture and functioning of capital market infrastructure in the digital age [7]. The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure is directly related to the upgrading of capital market infrastructure through fintech innovation. In order to promote sustainable economic development, SDG 9 highlights the significance of creating resilient infrastructure and encouraging innovation [8]. In this regard, fintech-driven change fosters more inclusive participation, improves system stability, and fortifies the technology basis of financial markets [9]. Modernized capital markets provide financial stability as well as greater economic resilience and innovation by increasing access, transparency, and efficiency [10].

But incorporating fintech into the infrastructure of the capital market also brings with it new difficulties. Both market participants and regulators face legal uncertainty as a result of regulatory frameworks frequently lagging behind technical improvements [11]. Furthermore, growing digitization increases vulnerability to operational flaws, data privacy issues, and cybersecurity threats [12]. These difficulties show how crucial it is for regulators, established financial institutions, and fintech companies to work together to guarantee that innovation is applied ethically and sustainably [13]. Therefore, evaluating fintech's long-term effects on capital market infrastructure modernization requires an understanding of how fintech interacts with existing market infrastructure [14].

Bank Indonesia also emphasizes that Financial Market Infrastructures (FMIs) play an important role in supporting efficient, secure, and resilient financial systems amid increasing digitalization in the financial sector [15]. Previous studies mainly focus on fintech adoption in general financial services, while limited research discusses the integration of multiple fintech technologies within capital market infrastructure. This study addresses that gap by examining fintech integration in trading, settlement, surveillance, and risk management processes [16]. In contrast to earlier studies, which generally examine fintech adoption from a broad financial service perspective or focus only on specific technologies such as blockchain or AI independently, this study offers a more integrated perspective on fintech-driven modernization within capital market infrastructure. Previous research has predominantly emphasized digital payment systems, banking innovation, investment applications, or isolated technological implementations without comprehensively analyzing how multiple fintech technologies simultaneously reshape trading, settlement, market surveillance, and risk management processes within capital markets. Furthermore, many prior studies mainly discuss the technological potential of fintech conceptually, while limited research explores its institutional implications, operational integration, and infrastructure transformation through qualitative case-based analysis. Therefore, this study contributes to the existing literature by providing a holistic examination of how cloud computing, AI, machine learning, and DLT collectively support the modernization of capital market infrastructure while also identifying the regulatory, cybersecurity, and institutional challenges associated with fintech integration.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Traditional Capital Market Infrastructure

Central Clearing Counterparties (CCPs), Central Securities Depositories (CSDs), and stock exchanges are some of the essential elements of traditional capital market infrastructure [17]. Through methods for transaction recording, clearing, and settlement, these systems are made to guarantee safe and effective trading [18]. However, there are a number of issues with traditional operational models, including high operating costs, limited data openness between institutions, and settlement delays. The intricacy of procedures involving several middlemen raises the possibility of mistakes and inefficiencies in the system even more [19].

2.2. The Development of Fintech and Its Core Technologies

The way capital markets function has changed significantly as a result of the advancement of financial technology, or fintech [20]. This transformation can also be explained through Digital Transformation Theory, which emphasizes how digital technologies reshape organizational processes, operational efficiency, and institutional innovation within financial systems. Fintech enhances the effectiveness, transparency, and dependability of financial market infrastructure in addition to converting manual operations into digital systems [21]. Fintech is crucial to capital market infrastructure modernization across all stages of transactions, including pre-trade, trade, and post-trade operations [22].

DLT, also referred to as blockchain, is one of the key technologies in fintech [23]. Decentralized, secure, and unchangeable transaction recording is made possible by this technology, which lessens the need for conventional middlemen [24]. Clearing and settlement procedures can be completed more swiftly and effectively by using DLT [25]. Furthermore, smart contracts make it possible for predetermined agreements or norms to be carried out automatically, which lowers operating expenses and human mistake.

Beyond blockchain, machine learning and AI are being used more and more in capital markets, especially for risk management, fraud detection, and market surveillance [26]. Financial organizations and regulators can detect unusual trading trends and facilitate more precise decision-making because to these technologies' ability to analyze massive amounts of data quickly [27]. In the meantime, cloud computing offers scalable and adaptable infrastructure to facilitate real-time market data processing and electronic trading platforms.

In the modernization of capital market infrastructure, each of these fintech technologies plays a unique role. AI, DLT, and cloud computing were selected in this study because they represent the most widely adopted fintech technologies in capital market modernization, particularly in supporting transaction efficiency, operational transparency, real-time monitoring, and scalable digital infrastructure. Table 1 summarizes important fintech technologies and their use in capital markets to give a better understanding of their functions and uses.

Table 1. Key Fintech Technologies and Their Applications in Capital Market Infrastructure

Fintech Technology	**Primary Function**	**Application in Capital Market Infrastructure**	**Key Benefits**	**Related SDGs**
DLT / Blockchain	Decentralized and immutable record-keeping	Clearing and settlement, asset tokenization, transaction recording	Faster settlement, reduced operational costs, enhanced transparency	SDG 9
Smart Contracts	Automated execution of predefined rules	Post-trade settlement, payment processing, corporate actions	Reduced human intervention, lower error risk, improved efficiency	SDG 9
Artificial Intelligence (AI) & Machine Learning	Data analysis and pattern recognition	Market surveillance, fraud detection, risk management, regulatory reporting	Real-time monitoring, improved risk control, enhanced decision-making	SDG 9
Cloud Computing	Scalable data storage and processing	Electronic trading platforms, real-time data analytics	Cost efficiency, system flexibility, faster data access	SDG 9

Fintech innovations support capital market modernization in complementary ways, as shown by the overview in Table 1. While AI and machine learning improve market surveillance and risk management, technologies like DLT and smart contracts increase efficiency and transparency in post-trade procedures [28]. Scalability and wider market access are facilitated by cloud computing and electronic trading platforms. Taken together, these technologies help address major limitations within conventional capital market infrastructure, a subject that has been extensively covered in earlier scholarly and commercial research [29].

More specifically, DLT and blockchain technologies are increasingly applied in clearing and settlement systems to reduce transaction processing time and minimize reconciliation errors between financial institutions. Smart contracts support the automation of post-trade activities, including payment execution and corporate action processing, thereby reducing operational delays and manual intervention. In addition, AI

and machine learning technologies are widely utilized for fraud detection, algorithmic trading surveillance, and predictive risk analysis through real-time monitoring of large transaction datasets. Cloud computing also plays an important role in supporting scalable trading infrastructure, enabling faster market data processing and broader accessibility for both institutional and retail investors. These examples demonstrate how each fintech technology contributes differently but complementarily to the modernization of capital market infrastructure [30, 31].

2.3. Earlier Research on Modernization of the Capital Market

The role of fintech in modernizing capital markets has been the subject of numerous academic research and industry reports. Recent studies published from 2021 onward further highlight the growing role of blockchain, AI-driven analytics, cloud computing, and digital financial infrastructure in improving transparency, operational efficiency, and market resilience within modern capital markets. According to these studies, blockchain-based clearing systems, electronic trading platforms, and more advanced data analytics tools to assist with investment decisions have all emerged as a result of the integration of digital technology [32]. Additionally, a number of studies demonstrate how cooperation between fintech companies and conventional financial institutions accelerates capital market infrastructure modernization. All things considered, the literature demonstrates that fintech-driven modernization not only improves efficiency but also opens up new avenues for innovation and global financial inclusion [33].

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Approach

A qualitative, case study-based research methodology is used in this study. This method is considered appropriate for investigating capital market modernization, which is characterized by rapid technological change and institutional complexity. Beyond quantitative data alone, qualitative research allows for a deeper comprehension of the context, driving forces, and practical effects of fintech adoption [34].

3.2. Case Study Selection

Relevance was considered by selecting case studies that directly demonstrate the implementation of fintech technologies in trading, settlement, market surveillance, and risk management processes within capital market infrastructure [35]. Accessibility was ensured through the availability of credible secondary data, including official reports, regulatory publications, industry analyses, and publicly available corporate documents related to fintech implementation [36]. Representativeness was addressed by including cases from major stock exchanges, fintech companies, and collaborations between traditional financial institutions and technology providers to reflect different aspects of capital market modernization [37].

3.3. Data Collection

All of the data used in this study comes from secondary sources. To comprehend infrastructure developments, these include formal reports from central banks, clearing houses, and exchanges. Fintech businesses' press releases, technical publications, and white papers also offer insights into technology characteristics and implementation results [36]. Additional sources that offer more comprehensive context and cross-market comparisons are industry reports from consulting firms and financial media.

3.4. Data Analysis

Thematic analysis is used to examine the data in order to find recurring themes, achievements, and difficulties within the case studies. The analysis process involved open coding, thematic categorization, and cross-case comparison to identify recurring patterns related to fintech integration, operational efficiency, regulatory challenges, and digital transformation within capital market infrastructure. Themes like operational hazards, technology constraints, regulatory obstacles, and efficiency gains are revealed by this method [38]. Discussions about how fintech technologies affect conventional capital market infrastructure are supported by thematic analysis, which enables a methodical structuring of findings.

To improve analytical transparency, this study applied a multi-stage coding process during data analysis. First, secondary data obtained from industry reports, regulatory publications, fintech company documents, and selected case studies were reviewed and categorized based on recurring topics related to capital market modernization. Second, open coding was conducted to identify key issues such as operational efficiency, settlement acceleration, transparency improvement, cybersecurity risks, and regulatory challenges. Third, the

identified codes were grouped into broader thematic categories to support cross-case comparison and interpretation [39–41].

To enhance coding reliability, the study applied a manual coding procedure in which the collected data were reviewed repeatedly to ensure consistency in theme identification and interpretation. The coding results were re-evaluated through iterative comparison between categories and source data to minimize subjective bias and improve analytical consistency across the selected case studies. The thematic analysis also involved comparing findings across different institutional and fintech cases to identify recurring implementation patterns, similarities, and differences. This process strengthened the consistency of interpretation and improved the robustness of the qualitative findings regarding fintech-driven transformation in capital market infrastructure [42].

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the secondary data analysis and qualitative case studies are presented and discussed in this section. The findings, which show how fintech solutions solve inefficiencies, transparency concerns, and operational constraints inside conventional capital market infrastructure, are arranged according to major themes found through thematic analysis [43]. In order to ensure coherence with the research objectives and methodological approach, the discussion incorporates ideas from previous literature with empirical findings from chosen examples [44].

Figure 1. The Role of Fintech in Modernizing Capital Market Infrastructure

The figure above reflects Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 9, which emphasizes the importance of industry innovation and resilient infrastructure. In the context of this study, the visual representation aligns with the role of financial technology in strengthening and modernizing capital market infrastructure through digital innovation. Fintech-driven solutions such as blockchain-based systems, digital trading platforms, and automated settlement processes illustrate how technological advancement supports more efficient, transparent, and scalable financial infrastructure. This perspective provides a relevant framework for interpreting the results presented in this section, as the findings highlight fintech's contribution to addressing structural inefficiencies and operational limitations within traditional capital markets, in line with the broader objective of sustainable industrial and financial development [45].

To provide a clearer overview of the comparative findings, the following table summarizes the main results from the selected case studies, including the implementation focus, fintech technologies applied, and their primary impacts on capital market infrastructure modernization.

Table 2. Comparative Summary of Selected Fintech Case Studies in Capital Market Infrastructure Modernization

Case Study	Fintech Technology	Implementation Focus	Main Impact
ASX	DLT / Blockchain	Replacement of CHESS settlement system	Faster settlement and improved transparency
Fintech Trading Platforms	Cloud Computing	Electronic trading systems and scalable data processing	Increased market accessibility, faster transaction execution, and scalable real-time analytics
AI-Driven Regulatory Solutions	Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning	Market surveillance and fraud detection	Improved risk management, real-time monitoring, and early detection of anomalous trading activities
Traditional Financial Institutions and Fintech Collaborations	Integrated Fintech Solutions	Operational modernization and digital financial services	Enhanced operational efficiency, interoperability, and innovation in capital market services

As presented in Table 2, the selected case studies demonstrate that different fintech technologies contribute to capital market modernization through improvements in operational efficiency, transparency, settlement processes, and risk management.

4.1. Improvements in Post-Trade Efficiency

This section focuses on the analytical interpretation of the findings and their implications for capital market infrastructure modernization. The results, which demonstrate how fintech solutions address operational limitations, transparency issues, and inefficiencies within traditional capital market infrastructure, are organized based on key topics identified by thematic analysis. The discussion integrates concepts from earlier literature with practical findings from selected examples to guarantee coherence with the research aims and methodological methodology.

DLT-based settlement systems shorten settlement times, often from several days to almost real-time processing, and lower reconciliation needs, according to a number of case studies. By automating predetermined settlement criteria and reducing manual involvement and operational risk, smart contracts further improve efficiency. These results are consistent with previous research that emphasizes how blockchain-based solutions can enhance post-trade infrastructure's effectiveness and transparency. The findings also indicate that the effectiveness of fintech adoption depends not only on technological capability but also on institutional readiness, regulatory adaptability, and integration with existing financial systems. This interpretation strengthens the discussion by connecting the findings with broader digital transformation challenges identified in previous studies.

4.2. Enhancement of Market Transparency and Risk Management

The significance of AI and machine learning in enhancing market transparency and risk management is another important theme that emerged from the investigation. Conventional market surveillance systems frequently work reactively, spotting anomalies only after they happen. Fintech-enabled surveillance technologies, on the other hand, make it possible to continuously and instantly monitor trading activity.

The examined cases demonstrate the growing usage of AI-driven algorithms to identify fraudulent activity, unusual trading patterns, and possible market manipulation. These technologies enable more proactive risk management and regulatory supervision by concurrently processing massive amounts of transaction data and external information. This result corroborates earlier studies that indicate AI improves market supervision's efficacy while boosting investor and institutional trust.

4.3. Transformation of Trading and Data Analytics

The findings also show that fintech has changed capital markets' trading systems and data analytics capabilities. Particularly for retail investors, the use of electronic trading platforms has increased market access, decreased execution costs, and accelerated transaction speed. By offering scalable infrastructure for real-time data processing and analytics, cloud computing serves a crucial supporting role.

According to case studies, cloud-based solutions let market players examine big datasets effectively, facilitating quicker and better-informed investment decisions. These advancements promote innovation in financial goods and trading methods in addition to enhancing operational effectiveness. The results align with research highlighting the significance of digital platforms and data-driven technologies in contemporary capital markets.

4.4. Challenges and Implications for Future Development

Notwithstanding the advantages noted, the study also identifies several challenges related to the implementation of fintech in capital market infrastructure. Regulatory frameworks frequently lag behind technological developments, creating uncertainty for both market participants and fintech providers. Several regulatory approaches have been introduced to address these challenges, including the Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) regulation in the European Union, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) digital asset oversight framework, and regulatory sandbox programs implemented in various countries. These frameworks aim to improve legal clarity, strengthen investor protection, support fintech innovation, and reduce systemic risks associated with digital financial technologies. In addition, increased reliance on digital systems exposes capital markets to cybersecurity risks, including data breaches, system disruptions, and digital compliance issues. Differences in regulatory standards across jurisdictions may also complicate cross-border transactions and digital asset supervision.

The findings further indicate that institutional readiness remains a major challenge, particularly for traditional financial institutions that continue to rely on legacy infrastructure. Integrating fintech solutions into existing systems often requires significant investment in digital infrastructure, employee training, and system interoperability. Furthermore, the absence of standardized governance frameworks for blockchain-based systems and AI-driven monitoring tools may create uncertainty regarding accountability, compliance, and data protection responsibilities.

The case studies demonstrate that cooperation between conventional financial institutions, fintech companies, and regulators is essential to support effective fintech integration. Successful implementation depends not only on technological capability but also on organizational readiness and adaptive regulatory support. Therefore, stronger regulatory coordination, implementation of regulatory sandbox programs, and improved cybersecurity governance are necessary to promote safer and more sustainable capital market infrastructure modernization.

4.5. Discussion in Relation to Research Objectives and SDG 9

Taken together, the findings show that fintech technologies are essential for updating capital market infrastructure because they increase accessibility, efficiency, and transparency. These results are in line with the qualitative methodology used in this study and directly address the research issues. Furthermore, by emphasizing how technological innovation enhances financial infrastructure and promotes sustainable economic development, the results are consistent with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure, which is supported by the UN.

5. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

Managerial implications of this study suggest that financial institutions should prioritize digital infrastructure modernization, employee digital competency development, and system interoperability to support effective fintech integration within capital market operations. Regulators are encouraged to establish adaptive regulatory frameworks, strengthen cybersecurity governance, and implement regulatory sandbox programs to facilitate innovation while maintaining market stability. Meanwhile, fintech companies should enhance compliance mechanisms, risk management practices, and collaboration with traditional financial institutions to ensure sustainable and scalable digital transformation in capital market infrastructure.

6. CONCLUSION

This study examines how fintech technologies are transforming capital market infrastructure by addressing inefficiencies, high operational costs, and limited transparency within traditional financial systems. Using a qualitative case study methodology and thematic analysis, the study investigates how cloud computing, Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, and Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) contribute to the

modernization of trading, clearing, settlement, and market surveillance processes. The findings indicate that DLT and smart contracts improve post-trade efficiency by reducing settlement time, operational complexity, and reliance on intermediaries. In addition, AI and machine learning technologies strengthen market transparency and risk management through real-time surveillance and early detection of unusual trading activities, while cloud-based infrastructure enhances market accessibility and scalable data analytics.

Despite these advantages, several challenges remain, including institutional readiness, cybersecurity risks, and regulatory uncertainty. The study highlights the importance of collaboration among regulators, traditional financial institutions, and fintech companies to support sustainable fintech integration within capital market infrastructure. Taken together, the findings support the achievement of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure by emphasizing the role of fintech in strengthening financial infrastructure and promoting long-term economic development.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the literature on digital transformation and financial infrastructure by demonstrating how multiple fintech technologies collectively reshape capital market operations rather than functioning as isolated innovations. The study also highlights the relationship between technological integration, institutional adaptation, and regulatory readiness in supporting sustainable capital market modernization. However, this research is limited to qualitative secondary data analysis. Future studies may apply quantitative or comparative approaches to examine fintech implementation and its broader impact on capital market performance more comprehensively.

7. DECLARATIONS

7.1. About Authors

Untung Rahardja (UR) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2166-2412
Ratna Utami Wijayanti (UW) -
Yunita Christy (YC) -
Gabriel Fransiso (GF) -

7.2. Author Contributions

Conceptualization: UR; Methodology: UW and YC; Software: GF; Validation: GF; Formal Analysis: YC and GF; Investigation: UR and YC; Resources: YC; Data Curation: UR and GF; Writing Original Draft Preparation: YC and GF; Writing Review and Editing: UW; Visualization: UW; All authors, UR, UW, YC and GF, have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

7.3. Data Availability Statement

The data underlying this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

7.4. Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and publication of this article.

7.5. Declaration of Conflicting Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this study.

REFERENCES

[1] D. W. Arner, J. Barberis, and R. P. Buckley, "The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm," *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1–45, 2021.
[2] Bank for International Settlements, *Central bank digital currencies and payment systems*. BIS, 2021.
[3] T. Handra and V. P. K. Sundram, "The effect of human resource information systems (hris) and artificial intelligence on defense industry performance," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 155–163, 2023.
[4] P. Gomber, J.-A. Koch, and M. Siering, "Digital finance and fintech: Current research and future research directions," *Journal of Business Economics*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 343–378, 2022.
[5] International Monetary Fund, *Global financial stability report: Shockwaves from digital finance*. IMF, 2022.

[6] I. Shantilawati, O. I. Suri, R. A. Sunarjo, S. A. Anjani, and D. Robert, "Unveiling new horizons: Ai-driven decision support systems in hrm-a novel bibliometric perspective," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 252–263, 2025.
[7] G. Kou and Y. Lu, "Fintech: A literature review of emerging financial technologies and applications," *Financial Innovation*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–28, 2025.
[8] Y. Liu, X. Wang, and J. Xu, "Blockchain-based clearing and settlement systems in capital markets," *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1–18, 2022.
[9] V. Meilinda, S. A. Anjani, and M. Ridwan, "A platform based business revolution activates indonesia's digital economy," *Startupreneur Business Digital (SABDA Journal)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 155–174, 2023.
[10] OECD, *Financial market infrastructures in the digital age*. OECD Publishing, 2023.
[11] T. Philippon, "The fintech opportunity," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 3–24, 2022.
[12] M. R. Anwar and L. D. Sakti, "Integrating artificial intelligence and environmental science for sustainable urban planning," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 179–191, 2024.
[13] A. Priyadarshi, P. Singh, P. Dawadi, and A. Dixit, "Role of fintech apps in increasing investment decisions: A study on the capital market," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2024.
[14] PwC, "Fintech and capital market infrastructure modernization," 2023.
[15] Bank Indonesia, "Financial market infrastructures," 2026, accessed: 2026-05-11. [Online]. Available: https://www.bi.go.id/en/fungsi-utama/sistem-pembayaran/Infrastruktur-Pasar-Keuangan/Default.aspx
[16] A. I. L. Wibowo, A. D. Putra, M. S. Dewi, and D. O. Radianto, "Differences in intrinsic value with stock market prices using the price earning ratio (per) approach as an investment decision making indicator (case study of manufacturing companies in indonesia period 2016-2017)," *Aptisi Transactions On Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 82–92, 2019.
[17] A. V. Thakor, "Fintech and banking: What do we know?" *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, vol. 41, p. 100833, 2022.
[18] World Bank, *FinTech and the future of financial market infrastructure*. World Bank, 2023.
[19] S. Ahmadi, F. Barkhi, and S. Nikhashemi, "Uncovering consumer loyalty behavior: A data mining approach in the fast-moving consumer goods sector," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 89, p. 104634, 2026.
[20] V. Meilinda, L. W. Ming, M. Muhtarom, J. Zanubiya, M. R. Kusuma, and R. Yaputra, "Artificial intelligence and iot integration for intelligent decision-making systems," *Sundara Advanced Research on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2026.
[21] D. Jonas, E. Maria, I. R. Widiasari, U. Rahardja, T. Wellem *et al.*, "Design of a tam framework with emotional variables in the acceptance of health-based iot in indonesia," *ADI Journal on Recent Innovation*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 146–154, 2024.
[22] Y. Yang, F. Yang, X. Yi, and D. He, "How fintech affects financial sustainability and market efficiency," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2025.
[23] Accenture, "Reinventing capital markets with fintech," 2022, accenture Research.
[24] Deloitte, "Digital assets and post-trade infrastructure," 2024.
[25] J. Akter, A. Roy, S. Rahman, S. Mohona, and J. Ara, "Artificial intelligence-driven customer lifetime value (clv) forecasting: Integrating rfm analysis with machine learning for strategic customer retention," *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 249–257, 2025.
[26] U. Rahardja, M. Miftah, M. Rakhmansyah, and J. Zanubiya, "Revolutionizing financial services with big data and fintech: A scalable approach to innovation," *ADI Journal on Recent Innovation*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 118–129, 2025.
[27] F. M. Wuaten, "The role of sustainable finance and technology at bank bjb in supporting the" sustainable development goals"," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 5, no. 1Sp, pp. 97–108, 2023.
[28] European Central Bank, *The impact of FinTech on financial market infrastructures*. ECB, 2022.
[29] Financial Stability Board, *Global regulatory framework for crypto-asset activities*. FSB, 2023.
[30] A. S. Bist, B. Rawat, U. Rahardja, Q. Aini, and A. G. Prawiyogi, "An exhaustive analysis of stress on faculty members engaged in higher education," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 126–135, 2022.
[31] Global Finance Technology Network, "Global state of fintech report," 2024.
[32] I. Harsono and I. A. P. Suprapti, "The role of fintech in transforming financial services," *Accounting*

Studies Journal, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 45–58, 2022.

[33] E. P. Lestari, S. D. W. Prajanti, F. Adzim, E. Primayesa, M. I. A.-B. Ismail, and S. L. Lase, "Understanding technopreneurship in agricultural e-marketplaces," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 369–389, 2024.

[34] O. Chowdhury, M. A.-S. A. Rishat, M. H. B. Azam, and M. A. Amin, "The rise of blockchain technology in shariah-based banking system," in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Computing Advancements*, ser. ICCA '22. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2022, pp. 349–358. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3542954.3543005

[35] S. Testa, T. Atawna, G. Baldi, and S. Cincotti, "The innovation potential of islamic crowdfunding platforms in contributing to sustainable development," *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 2022.

[36] M. J. C. Samonte and A. L. E. S. Navarro, "Unleashing the power of ai: Seeking the impact of artificial intelligence in the fintech industry," in *Proceedings of the 2024 6th International Conference on Management Science and Industrial Engineering*, ser. MSIE '24. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2024, pp. 196–201. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3664968.3664993

[37] X. Chen, T. Wang, K. Huang, and Z. Shao, "Tpgraph: A highly-scalable time-partitioned graph model for tracing blockchain," in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Systems and Storage Conference*, ser. SYSTOR '24. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2024, pp. 25–38. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3688351.3689161

[38] E. Dolan, S. Kosasi, and S. N. Sari, "Implementation of competence-based human resources management in the digital era," *Startupreneur Business Digital (SABDA Journal)*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 167–175, 2022.

[39] S. Ahmadjee, C. Mera-Gomez, R. Bahsoon, and R. Kazman, "A study on blockchain architecture design decisions and their security attacks and threats," *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, vol. 31, no. 2, p. 36e, Apr. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3502740

[40] M. H. R. Chakim, P. A. Sunarya, V. Agarwal, I. N. Hikam *et al.*, "Village tourism empowerment against innovation, economy creative, and social environmental," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 5, no. 2sp, pp. 162–174, 2023.

[41] Y. Zhang and H. Xu, "Accountable monero system with privacy protection," *Security and Communication Networks*, vol. 2022, no. 1, p. 7746341, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1155/2022/7746341

[42] W. Liang, Y. Liu, C. Yang, S. Xie, K. Li, and W. Susilo, "On identity, transaction, and smart contract privacy on permissioned and permissionless blockchain: A comprehensive survey," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 56, no. 12, Jul. 2024. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3676164

[43] L. Cao, "Ai in finance: Challenges, techniques, and opportunities," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 55, no. 3, Feb. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3502289

[44] M. Z. H. U. Khan and Maliha, "Identifying the ai-based solutions proposed for restricting money laundering in financial sectors: Systematic mapping," *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2024.2344415

[45] J. Nicholls, A. Kuppa, and N.-A. LeKhac, "Fraudlens: Graph structural learning for bitcoin illicit activity identification," in *Proceedings of the 39th Annual Computer Security Applications Conference*, ser. ACSAC '23. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2023, pp. 324–336. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3627106.3627200